Poisoning by SO_x of Some Base Metal Oxide Auto Exhaust Catalysts

R. J. FARRAUTO AND BRENT WEDDING

Research and Development Laboratories, Corning Glass Works, Corning, New York 14830

Received October 4, 1973

Many base metal oxide catalysts used for carbon monoxide and hydrocarbon oxidation in automobile exhaust gas are poisoned by sulfur oxides. A study was made of SO, interaction with several catalysts (copper chromite, copper oxide, cobalt oxide, and commercial copper chromite containing copper oxide) to determine its effect on catalytic activity. Various techniques were used in concert and included infrared spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis, differential scanning calorimetry as a measure of catalytic activity, and chemical analysis. The catalytic activity was determined as a function of the amount of $SO₂$ adsorption at various temperatures, and the specific surface sites were identified.

The results indicated that there are at least two different adsorption sites for SO_2 on copper chromite; the SO, adsorbs preferentially on the site most important for CO oxidation; the sites necessary for hydrocarbon oxidation being less affected. No sulfate ions form on stoichiometric copper chromite, in contrast to the other catalysts studied.

The extent of regeneration of poisoned catalysts depends on the catalyst composition, and several regeneration methods are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Copper chromite can be prepared to resist the high temperatures expected $(-800^{\circ}C)$ in an automobile exhaust and for this reason has been considered a candidate for controlling emissions. However, the catalytic performance deteriorates in an atmosphere containing sulfur oxides. Several studies of SO_x poisoning of base metal oxidation catalysts have been reported $(1-4)$. The interaction of $SO₂$ with specific active sites of a copper chromite catalyst has also been investigated (5).

This paper presents the results of a study of the adsorption and desorption of sulfur oxides by copper chromite, and the effect that this has on oxidation catalysis of hexane and carbon monoxide (the former being somewhat representative of unburned hydrocarbon).

Thcrmogravimetry was used to examine the details of the interaction of SO_x with

Copyright 0 1974 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. the catalyst and differential scanning calorimetry to test relative catalytic activity. Detailed studies of different SO_x poisoning mechanisms of several base-metal catalysts (CuO, Co_3O_4 , and CuO + CuCr₂O₄) using chemical analysis and surface infrared spectroscopy are also discussed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Catalyst Preparations

A thermally stable copper chromite catalyst can be prepared by removing any excess CuO by a hydrochloric acid leach. We have found that this gives a material that shows no further chemical or physical changes when heated at temperatures not exceeding 800°C. It is prepared by mixing aqueous solutions of $Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O$ and $Cr(NO₃)₃·9H₂O$ with a final concentration of $2 M$ with respect to each cation. The solution is dried at 110°C and the nitrates

decomposed at 200°C. The oxides are then fired to 600°C for 4 hr. X-ray diffraction results show two phases: CuO and CuCr₂O₄. If this mixture is heated to SOO"C, a chemical reaction producing some $Cu₂Cr₂O₄$ occurs. Formation of this undesired product can be prevented if the oxide mixture is first leached for about 1 hr in $3 M$ HCl, thoroughly washed, and subsequently fired to 800°C. The only phase then present is $CuCr₂O₄$, herein designated L-CuCr₂O₄. The surface area as determined by the Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller method using N_2 was consistently 6 m^2/g after repeated firings to 800°C for up to 72 hr. Furthermore, the 1500 A particle size, the X-ray diffraction pattern, and the catalytic activity for carbon monoxide and hydrocarbon oxidation were essentially unchanged. Since the material's properties are stable, we use it for a reference base-metal oxide catalyst.

Cobalt oxide was prepared by decomposing solid cobalt carbonate at 250°C with subsequent firing to 800°C for 16 hr. Xray diffraction identified only $Co₃O₄$. The surface area was $4 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$.

Copper oxide was prepared by drying an aqueous solution of $Cu (NO₃)₂·3H₂O$, decomposing the nitrate at 200°C and firing to 700°C for 4 hr. The physical surface area of 0.4 m^2/g was reduced to almost 0.1 m^2/g if the material was fired at temperature higher than 700°C.

Harshaw 18OOP copper chromite catalyst was selected for detailed study; the composition has 51% CuO and 47% Cr₂O₃, according to the supplier. After firing for 4 hr to 7OO"C, x-ray diffraction indicated only CuO and $CuCr₂O₄$ present, and a surface area of 2.3 m^2/g . Firing to higher temperatures resulted in formation of $Cu₂Cr₂O₄$.

Preparation of Poisoned Samples

Samples of catalyst powder were held at various controlled temperatures in a flowing gas reactor for 1 hr, after which the cell was purged and rapidly cooled. The synthetic exhaust gas mixture was SO_2 : 0.08%, NO: 0.1% , C_3H_6 : 0.1%, CO: 1.25%, $\rm H_2O\colon 3\%, O_2\colon 7\%$, and $\rm N_2\colon$ balance.

A flow rate of about 2 liter/min was used through about 5 g of catalyst. We chose a high $SO₂$ level (relative to that usually found in automotive exhaust gas) in order to accelerate the poisoning. Portions of the SO,-treated catalyst were used for DSC samples, TGA samples, and regeneration studies.

Additional poisoning studies were also performed using $10,000$ ppm $SO₂$ in excess air.

DSC Testing

A duPont Model 900 thermal analyzer with a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) cell is used to test catalytic activity. A small pan of powdered sample is heated at 20° C/min in a flowing test gas atmosphere, and the temperature rise, ΔT , relative to an inert reference used as a measure of catalytic activity. Details are given elsewhere (6) . In a separate experiment, a sample was heated to 5OO"C, held at that temperature, and the steady-state AT displayed on a time-base recorder. Sulfur dioxide (100 ppm) was then added to the test gas, and a record of ΔT versus time was made.

Thermogravimetric Analyses

A Mettler thermoanalyzer was used to obtain TGA data. Both desorption from poisoned samples and reaction of the sample in an atmosphere with SO_x were studied.

The previously treated samples were heated in air at a rate of 10° C/min to 800°C and held at that temperature for up to an additional 3 hr. The weight change versus time was recorded, and normalized to show weight percent change.

Other runs were made using the corrosive gas furnace and show directly the reaction of SO_x with the catalyst. The major constituent of the experimental atmosphere was dry air flowing at 6 liter/hr, with SO_x being introduced through a port in the top of the furnace to produce a mixture having 1000 ppm. The source of $SO₂$ was a 1.56% blend in N_2 , while that for SO_3 was β -sulfur trioxide crystals in a glass tube held at -20° C and purged with N₂ carrier gas.

Two types of runs were made using 100 mg of sample in an alumina crucible. TO determine the temperatures where adsorption and desorption occur, the sample was heated to 300°C and cooled to 50°C, then SO, was introduced and the temperature raised to 800°C at a rate of 10°/min. Adsorption kinetics were studied by heating a fresh sample to 500° C, after which SO_x was added and the weight gain versus time recorded under isothermal conditions.

Chemical Analysis

Chemical analyses for sulfur of poisoned and unpoisoned catalysts before and after water washing were performed using a LECO IR-32 automatic sulfur determinator that employs a high temperature induction combination, followed by measurement of the $SO₂$ formed using an infrared detector. Soluble cobalt was determined using the NITROSO-R salt method (7) and copper by the BATHOCUPRIONIC method (7).

Infrared Spectroscopy

These studies were conducted as previously reported (5) .

RESULTS

$Effect of SO₂ *Exposure* Temperature on$ Catalytic Performance

The poisoning of L -CuCr₂O₄ by sulfur oxides is strongly temperature dependent. Figure 1 shows CO oxidation catalytic activity of samples that had been exposed for 1 hr to ~ 800 ppm SO_2 in a reactor at several different temperatures. The samples exposed at room temperature and 400°C were severely poisoned. The 700°C sample was only slightly more resistant, and no difference was found between samples heated to 800°C in synthetic exhaust atmospheres, which did or did not contain SO_2 . A 24-hr soak at 800°C is sufficient to regenerate catalysts that had been poisoned at lower temperatures. Although the minimum time at 800°C for complete regeneration was not determined, we found that more than 1 hr is required.

FIG. 1. CO activity as a function of SO, poisoning at various temperatures for L -CuCr₂O₄. (A) Room temperature exposure 1 hr 800 ppm SO,. (B) 400°C exposure. (C) 700°C exposure. (D) 800° C exposure. (E) 800° C, 24 hr, no SO₂. (F) A, B, and C, 8OO"C, 24 hr air. (G) A, B, and D, 800°C, 1 hr, air.

Similar results were found for hexane oxidation activity.

Thermogravimetric analysis results from these poisoned samples are given in Fig. 2. The data have been compensated by subtracting the results from measurement of an untreated sample, and normalized to a weight percent basis. Weight losses at low temperature might not be entirely $SO₂$, but also may include some $H₂O$, which was present perhaps in greater abundance in the samples that had been treated in the reactor. Desorption from the sample

FIG. 2. Thermal gravimetric analysis for L- $CuCr₂O₄$ exposed to $SO₂$ at various temperatures.

FIG. 3. Adsorption of $SO₂$ on $L-CuCr₂O₄$ as a function of temperature. Heating rate lO'C/ min, 1000 ppm $SO₂$ in air. Sample weight 100 mg.

treated at 700°C took place more slowly than that from samples treated at lower temperatures, suggesting possible differences in bonding mechanisms of the $SO₂$ on the catalyst surface.

Data showing pickup and loss of $SO₂$ by fresh catalyst dried at 300°C immediately prior to the run are shown in Fig. 3 as a function of temperature during heating to 800° C at a rate of 10° /min. This is a convenient method for rapid scanning of the use temperature regions for adsorption-desorption. One finds continuous adsorption of $SO₂$ to about 575^oC where desorption begins with about half of the $SO₂$ remaining when the temperature reaches 800°C. A "base line" from a blank run has been subtracted to compensate changes in air buoyancy during the temperature scan. An alumina crucible was used to hold the sample, since earlier runs in a platinum-rhodium vessel resulted in significant SO, formation. Some $SO₃$ was formed during the runs in alumina, undoubtedly through catalysis by the sample.

SO, Isothermal Adsorption

Adsorption kinetics were determined by measuring the weight gain at 500°C versus time after the addition of 1000 ppm $SO₂$ to the furnace atmosphere. Data are given in Fig. 4. The 500°C temperature was chosen

FIG. 4. Isothermal adsorption of SO, on L- $CuCr₂O₄$ at 500°C. 1000 ppm SO₂ in air. Sample weight 100 mg.

to represent an average exhaust gas temperature. The adsorption occurs via at least two processes, designated "fast" and "slow," which are at "carbonyl" and "carbonate" sites, respectively (5) .

One can separate the processes in the following way. The total weight M that would be picked up by the sample if the measurements had been taken until there was no further weight change is estimated. Then a plot of $log[(M-m)/M]$ versus time is made, where m is the weight gain at a particular time. Figure 5 shows the result, which has the striking feature of linear behavior within the accuracy of the weight detection system for almost 4 hr of the experiment.

There are not sufficient data to determine reaction kinetics for the fast process, especially since the $SO₂$ concentration is building up in the reaction chamber during

FIG. 5. Analysis of the isothermal adsorption of SO₂ (in air) on L-CuCr₂O₄ at 500°C.

the initial stages of the experiment. The slow process (adsorption onto the "carbonate" sites) follows first order kinetics. If we write $M_1 + M_2 = M$, with M_1 the total pickup via the fast process ("Carbonyl" sites) and $M₂$ that via the slow process, then the rate equation for the latter is

$$
\frac{dm}{dt} = K(M_2 - m), \text{ giving}
$$

$$
\ln [M_2/(M_2 - m)] = Kt.
$$

The value of M_1 can be obtained from the $t = 0$ intercept of the straight line, and is 195 μ g for the data of Fig. 5. Considering the analogy of the slow process to radioactive decay, we can define a halftime for the coverage. This is found to be 2h. During the first half-time, one half of the total coverage occurs, giving $M₂ = 290$ μ g. This gives $M = 4.85$ mg/g-cat. for this experiment.

Data similar to those in Figs. 4 and 5 were obtained using ~ 1000 ppm SO₃ in excess air. The curves had the same general shape, with $M_1 = 1.10$ mg/g-cat, $M_{\rm z} = 1.40 \text{ mg/g-cat.}$ and $t_{\rm y} = 33 \text{ min.}$

The fast process of Fig. 5 shows an adsorption of 2 mg of $SO₂$ per gram of catalyst, about $3 \text{ mol} / 100 \text{ Å}$.

Catalytic Performance and in Situ $SO₂$ Poisoning

Catalyst poisoning, measured by monitoring DSC activity at 500°C subsequent to the addition of 100 ppm $SO₂$, is shown in Fig. 6. The decrease in CO oxidation is quite severe as the $SO₂$ is introduced. The initial poisoning rate is quite rapid with subsequent slower deactivation. The decrease in catalytic performance of L- $CuCr₂O₄$ for hexane oxidation is less severe compared with the CO process, a result consistent with our picture of "fast" and "slow" sites. The hexane run was stopped after 30 min in order to minimize possible damage to the cell by acids formed from SO_x and water produced from the hexane oxidation.

The recovery of CO oxidation at 500°C

FIG. 6. CO and hexane oxidation over L- $CuCr₂O₄$ at 500°C in the presence of 100 ppm SO₂.

after poisoning was also measured. A rapid increase in activity (about 30% in the first 10 min after shutting off the SO_2 supply) followed by slower continued improvement was found. Extent of recovery was not affected by exposure time up to 80 min. After 1 hr, 50% of the activity lost had been recovered, indicating some degree of reversibility in the poisoning of active sites, at least for those sites responsible for CO oxidation at 500°C. Although the experiment was terminated after 1 hr of recovery, there was evidence that the activity was still slowly improving.

Sulfur trioxide was tested and also found to poison L -CuCr₂O₄. The adsorption rate at 500° C was similar to that of SO_2 . However, the half time of the slow process was only about 30–35 min.

Nature of the Adsorbed Poison

Infrared surface analysis of copper chromite exposed to $SO₂$ at temperatures up to 400°C gave no evidence of sulfate formation (5). Some bands were found and were assigned to adsorbed SO_2/SO_3 , but no SO_4^{2-} band at 983 cm⁻¹ was present. The experiment has been repeated for L- $CuCr₂O₄$ using 10,000 ppm SO₂ at 500°C,

and only the bands found earlier were present (8) .

If the poisoning process of $SO₂$ involves SO_4^{-2} formation, some of the metal in the catalyst will be water soluble. For samples treated at 500° C in 1000 ppm SO_2 , virtually no Cu^{2+} or Cr^{3+} ion was detected in the leachate. The experiments were then repeated at 10,000 ppm SO_2 , and 3×10^{-5} mmoles of Cu^{2+} were detected. This is quite close to the blank of 1×10^{-5} mmoles and may not be significant. The total amount of soluble sulfur was 2.3×10^{-4} mmoles, which is approximately four times greater than that adsorbed at 1000 ppm SO_2 , cf. Fig. 4. These results suggest the possibility of some SO_4^2 - formation at higher concentrations of $SO₂$. However, as mentioned above, infrared was unable to detect its presence. Similar results were obtained when the catalyst was exposed to 10,000 ppm $SO₂$ at 700 $^{\circ}$ C.

After the catalyst was poisoned at 500°C using either 1000 or 10,000 ppm $SO₂$ it had lost virtually all of its ability to catalyze CO oxidation below 200°C. After water washing 50%-60% of its original activity was restored. Infrared data substantiated the removal of some bonded SO_2/SO_3 , but we are not able to identify the active sites involved. By the extent of regeneration and its importance in the CO oxidation process, it appears likely that it is from the "carbonyl" sites.

Harshaw 1800P was fired to 700° C for 4 hr followed by an NC1 leach to remove excess CuO to see if the absence of SO_4^2 - formation depended on the preparation of the catalyst. This catalyst was exnosed to 1000 ppm SO_2 in excess air for 15 min at 500°C. Water leaching and surface infrared failed to show any SO_4^2 - formation.

OTHER CATALYSTS

Cupric oxide, cobalt oxide and Harshaw 18OOP were all tested for poisonability by SO,. All showed rapid decreases in CO conversion efficiency at 500°C during exposure to 100 ppm $SO₂$; the curves obtained were similar to those of Fig. 6. After each test, the samples were water leached yielding large quantities of metal ions. The results are in Table 1. In no instance after water washing was more than $20-30\%$ regeneration of original catalytic CO oxidation activity noted.

 $X-Ray$ diffraction identified $CoSO₄$ in the $Co₃O₄$ catalyst and CuSO₄ in both the CuO and Harshaw 18OOP, the latter originally having substantial amounts of CuO in addition to $CuCr₂O₄$.

Both water leaching and infrared studies of Harshaw copper chromite indicate that it is resistant to sulfate formation below 400° C, unlike the Co₃O₄ and CuO. Furthermore, almost 90% of its original efficiency to catalyze the CO oxidation process was restored after water washing. This was a rather surprising result, since CuO itself forms a sulfate at this temperature (9) , and its catalytic activity was not regenerable after water washing. Hence, the "excess" CuO in Harshaw 1800P is chemically and catalytically different than CuO itself.

CONCLUSIONS

Sulfate formation is one mechanism of poisoning by $SO₂$ for at least three typical transition metal oxide catalysts, CuO, $Co₃O₄$ and $CuO + CuCr₂O₄$. In the latter sample, the mechanism is temperature dependent with SO_4^{2-} formation occurring above 400°C. Leached copper chromite,

 $L-CuCr₂O₄$, interacts with SO₂ via strong chemisorption with no evidence of SO_4^2 formation within the temperature interval of 25"-700°C. Furthermore, no poisoning occurs at 800°C in an atmosphere containing 800 ppm $SO₂$, but this temperature depends on $SO₂$ concentration as has been shown recently (4) .

The selectivity of $SO₂$ poisoning on the active sites for CO oxidation by copper chromite has been shown, with rapid adsorption onto the "carbonyl" sites and slower adsorption onto "carbonate" sites. The latter sites are also those of hydrocarbon oxidation and are more slowly poisoned than the "carbonyl" sites. The poisoning process on L -CuCr₂O₄ may be schematically represented by:

CO oxid. primary sites: Cu-C=0
\n
$$
+ SO2 \xrightarrow{fast} Cu
$$
\n
$$
- 25°C
$$
\n
$$
= 0
$$
\nCO oxid. second sites: M-0::C \dots \n
$$
= 0
$$
\n
$$
+ SO2 \xrightarrow{slow} M-0 \dots SO2
$$

and H.C. primary sites.

Thermogravimetric analysis showed that at most 3 mol $SO_2/100 \text{ Å}^2$ adsorb onto the primary active sites for CO oxidation. This is in good agreement with results of Morgan and Farrauto by another method (10) .

The mechanism of $SO₂$ poisoning may be critical in predicting regenerability via water washing. Catalysts via SO_4^2 - formation show little regenerability, while those samples that poison via SO_2/SO_3 chemisorption $(L-CuCr₂O₄$ and Harshaw CuO + $CuCr₂O₄$ below 400°C) can be regenerated quite significantly in this way. One can speculate that once SO_4^{-2} is formed and active cations removed by water, the underlying or remaining bulk cations now exposed on the surface are considerably less active. Perhaps this points out differences in the nature of the catalytic surface and original bulk material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their thanks to the following people: Dr. W. Hertl for helpful suggestions, Mr. G. D. Schucker for performing the necessary chemical analysis, Mr. D. L. Millhollen for assistance in the thermal gravimetric analysis experiments, and Mr. N. A. Woodward for performing the DSC experiments.

REFERENCES

- 1. HUNTER, J. E., National Meeting of the Society of Automotive Engineers, Detroit, Mich., January, 1972, No. 729122.
- 2. MEGUERIAN, G. H., RAKOWSKY, F. W., HIRSH-BERG, E. H., LANG, C. R., AND SCHOCK, D. N., ITEC Progress Report, S.A.E. May 1972, No. 720480.
- 9. Yu YAO, Y. F., AND KUMMER, J. T., J. Catel. 28, 124 (1973).
- 4. FISIIEL, N. A., LEE, R. K., AND WILHELM, F. C., National Meeting of the American Society, Dallas, Texas, 1973.
- 5. HERTL, W., AND FARRAUTO, R. J., J. Catal. 29, 352 (1973).
- $6.$ WEDDING, B., AND FARRAUTO, R. J., Ind. Eng. Chem. (Proc. Res. and Design) 13, 45-47 (1974).
- 7. SANDELL, E. B., "Calorimetric Determination of Traces of Metals," Interscience Publishers, New York, 1959, pp. 419 and 453.
- 8. HERTL. W., private communication.
- 9. DEBERRY, D. W., AND SLADEK, K., Can. Soc. Chem. Engr. 49, 781 (1971).
- 10. MORGAN, W., AND FARRAUTO, R. J., J. Catal. 31, 140-142 (1973).